



Life Saving Appliances :- Marine Evacuation Systems (MES) - Servicing and Deployment Guidelines

Notice to all Ship Owners, Ship Operators and Managers, Masters, Officers and Crew of Merchant Ships and Manufacturers of MES

Summary

This document aims to clarify the MCA requirements for SOLAS Chapter III/20.8.2 rotational deployments of MES with respect to the roles that different parties should play in the deployment and the fail criteria for the deployment.

This document also aims to explain the position of the MCA with respect to the provision of SOLAS Chapter III/20.8.1.1 to extend the MES service interval.

The MCA also wishes through these guidelines to encourage ship specific training for the use of MES by taking advantage of the rotational deployment as a training opportunity.

The MCA is collecting data on the failure rate of 6 yearly rotational deployments with the aim of making informed future decisions with respect to MES.

1 Background

1.1 SOLAS defines a Marine Evacuation System (MES) as an appliance for the rapid transfer of persons from the embarkation deck of a ship to a floating survival craft, and are becoming more widespread on ships. Whilst they are maintained in readiness by annual servicing, the only opportunity to assess the system ability to deploy in an emergency is the 6 yearly rotational deployment. The MCA wishes to ensure that the equipment operates to a required standard at these rotational deployments.

2 Extension of 12 Months Service Provisions

2.1 The MCA's authority to issue extensions in excess of the 12 month window, under the provision of SOLAS Ch III/20.08.1.1, is limited to occasions when servicing is impracticable. Extension, when impracticable, should not be confused with inconvenience.



2.2 All applications for extension should be made to the local MCA Marine Office well in advance of the 12 month service date. They should clearly state the reason of impracticability and proposed time and place of service. The service history of the MES should be presented in the application. Any extension will not alter the anniversary date.

3 Deployment Preparation of MES

3.1 Rotational Deployment required by SOLAS Ch III/20.8.2 cannot be extended beyond the 6 year period. It is recommended that deployment is arranged accordingly with adequate notification to all involved parties.

3.2 The MCA or a delegated MCA Recognised Organisation shall be present to witness rotational deployment.

3.3 The ship owner shall obtain agreement with MCA/RO on the number and location of units to be deployed and the MCA/RO will complete the checklist detailed in Annex 1. Records for the deployment of each MES, including any spare MES, shall be maintained and will include the dates of deployments. Companies shall incorporate spare MES into their deployment program such that, as far as practicable, all MES are subject to equal periods between deployments.

3.4 Agreement shall be obtained on the number of liferafts, to include link liferafts that will be deployed from that station. It is important that MCA are able to verify on a selective basis, the ability of the system to effectively deploy and achieve effective evacuations within the timescale required by SOLAS.

3.5 The manufacturer, or his agent, together with a crew member(s) shall conduct an assessment to include thorough visual inspection and any pre-deployment checks necessary to evaluate MES readiness for safe deployment. This inspection must be documented by a pre-deployment checklist or other equivalent documentation, and subsequently presented to MCA/RO witnessing the test.

3.6 No modification, alteration, servicing or any other preparations of MES may be carried out unless prior consent is given by MCA/RO. Pre-deployment work may constitute failed deployment.

3.7 Rotational Deployment is a test of installed equipment. However, such deployment shall normally be conducted by competent ship's staff in accordance with officially documented procedures. If completed by a combination of crew and service personnel, clear roles shall be established and agreed.

4 Deployment of MES and Failure Criteria

4.1 The unit to be deployed shall be readied in accordance with agreed action plan and following the procedures outlined in manuals and training guides. The outcome of the deployment including any failures will be recorded on the form at Annex 1.

4.2 Failure of the Deployment will be determined by the following factors:-

4.2.1 During pre-deployment checks, actions were required without which deployment would not have occurred.

4.2.2 Deviations away from the OEM launching instructions were required in order to facilitate a launch.



4.2.3 Full MES capacity would not have been able to embark the rafts from the ship in the permitted time frame (taking into account the need for health and safety slow time and safe exercise requirements).

4.3 All of the above failure assessment criteria should be recorded on the form in Annex 1.

4.4 Should a full deployment have been achieved, having been classified as a failed deployment according to the above criteria, then the deployment will be additionally marked as a "Recoverable Failure" in Annex 1.

4.5 In the event the report considers the deployment a failure, the MCA/RO should be presented with a full report of the deployment from the manufacturer/agent within 1 month of the deployment. This report will outline the factors for the failure and remedial action to be taken for the installation in question. Also included are generic design defects which could compromise effective operation of the model in general. The report should be copied to the vessel and under IMO Resolution A761(18) to the RO responsible for the type approval, with particular regard to prospective generic model issues.

4.6 Once MCA is content with manufacturer's actions in addition to any actions or modifications required by the Notified Body and in conjunction with that organisation, a re-deployment of the system may be requested in order to demonstrate that the system is working within acceptable parameters. Any modifications or alterations shall be approved by that Notified Body.

5 Modifications to MES

5.1 Should the remedial actions identified in paragraph 4.2.1 require modification(s) to the MES, the proposed modification(s) cannot be made unless the Notified Body that approved the equipment verifies that the changes are acceptable, and if any additional tests are required for the equipment. Where changes are made that have been agreed by the Notified Body, they must be fully documented in the technical file.

5.2 Any unauthorised modification to the MES will be seen as a change to the equipment, resulting in invalidating the approval and requiring the equipment to be re-tested and approved.

5.3 The MCA will not approve any modification made to MES. This approval must come from the Notified Body who has previously approved the equipment, having been asked to do so by the manufacturer.

5.4 The Notified Body acting on behalf of the MCA, need not inform the MCA of each approval for modification that it receives. If a modification is part of the remedial actions suggested as part of the manufacturer's report of a failed deployment, the MCA will be informed when it receives the report as per paragraph 4.3.

6 Use of Deployment as a Training Exercise

6.1 Ship owners, operators, managers and masters are encouraged to use these limited opportunities to familiarise crews with the MES installed onboard, in addition to any shore based training already in place.

6.2 Use for training purposes should be agreed with all parties involved and sequencing of events and objectives clearly identified.



6.3 The crew involved must have received adequate training and instruction prior to the deployment and exercise.

6.4 All crew assigned MES duties shall undertake training as indicated by the SMS, Manufacturers Instructions and Training guidelines. Training should normally include annual MES descent, either on board, or at a training facility having a similar type of MES. Where the training facility MES differs from that found on board the crew members vessel, additional instruction shall be provided relating to the differences in the systems.

7 Deployment and Service History

7.1 Each MES unit will have a full service history including deployments which shall be available on board the vessel for inspection by all relevant authorities.

More Information

Marine Technology Unit
Maritime and Coastguard Agency
Bay 2/27
Spring Place
105 Commercial Road
Southampton
SO15 1EG

Tel : +44 (0) 23 8032 9100
Fax : +44 (0) 23 8032 9104
e-mail: mtu@mcga.gov.uk

General Inquiries: infoline@mcga.gov.uk

MCA Website Address: www.dft.gov.uk/mca

File Ref: 003/086/0013

Published: January 2013
Please note that all addresses and telephone numbers are correct at time of publishing



© Crown Copyright 2013

Safer Lives, Safer Ships, Cleaner Seas

*An executive agency of the
Department for
Transport*



ANNEX 1 to MGN 463

Record of Marine Evacuation System Deployment
(This form replaces MSF 1813 (MCA 928))

VESSEL DETAILS	Date of Deployment	
	Vessel Name	
	IMO Number	
	Flag	
	Location of Deployment	
	Organisation witnessing deployment	
MES DETAILS	Manufacturer	
	Model Number/Name	
	Capacity	
	Serial/Identification Number	
	Location on Vessel	
	MED Module B Certificate Number	
	MED Module D, F or G Number	
		<i>Please Tick</i>
DEPLOYMENT OUTCOME	Successful Deployment	
	Failed Deployment (MGN 463 Paragraph 4.2.1)	
	Failed Deployment (MGN 463 Paragraph 4.2.2)	
	Failed Deployment (MGN 463 Paragraph 4.2.3)	
	Recoverable Failure	
	Further Details	
		<i>Please Tick</i>
Background	Was the deployment used/plan to be used as a training exercise?	
	Has the MES previously been granted a service interval extension, If so when?	

- Attending surveyors should complete this form and return it to the Marine Technology Branch, Spring Place.
- Operators and Manufacturers should make sure that this information is available to the attending surveyor.

